

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

No. 2:25-cv-01652-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01653-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01654-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01658-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01660-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01663-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01667-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01668-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01669-JAM-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-01673-JAM-CSK

24 **ORDER**
25
26

27 Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the
28 above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious

litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No. 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:25-cv-01652, 2:25-cv-01653, 2:25-cv-01654, 2:25-cv-01658, 2:25-cv-01660, 2:25-cv-01663, 2:25-cv-01667, 2:25-cv-01668, 2:25-cv-01669 and 2:25-cv-01673 are **DISMISSED**; the Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** these cases. **No further filings will be accepted.**

Dated: June 25, 2025

/s/ John A. Mendez

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ In the captions of 2:25-cv-01667, 2:25-cv-01668 and 2:25-cv-01669, plaintiff names the San Joaquin County Superior Court. In the caption of 2:25-cv-01673, plaintiff names the Amador County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:25-cv-01667, 2:25-cv-01668, 2:25-cv-01669 and 2:25-cv-01673 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.